



## Article

# Volatilomic Analysis of Four Edible Flowers from *Agastache* Genus

Basma Najar <sup>1</sup>, Ilaria Marchioni <sup>2,3,\*</sup> , Barbara Ruffoni <sup>3</sup>, Andrea Copetta <sup>3</sup> ,  
Laura Pistelli <sup>2,4,\*</sup>  and Luisa Pistelli <sup>1,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Farmacia, Università di Pisa, Via Bonanno 6, 56126 Pisa, Italy; basmanajar@hotmail.fr (B.N.); luisa.pistelli@unipi.it (L.P.)

<sup>2</sup> Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie, Alimentari e Agro-alimentari, Università di Pisa, Via del Borghetto 80, 56124 Pisa, Italy

<sup>3</sup> CREA Centro di ricerca Orticoltura e Florovivaismo, Corso Inglesi 508, 18038 Sanremo, IM, Italy; barbara.ruffoni@crea.gov.it (B.R.); andrea.copetta@crea.gov.it (A.C.)

<sup>4</sup> Centro Interdipartimentale di Ricerca “Nutraceutica e Alimentazione per la Salute” (NUTRAFOOD), Università di Pisa, Via del Borghetto 80, 5614 Pisa, Italy

\* Correspondence: i.marchioni@studenti.unipi.it (I.M.); laura.pistelli@unipi.it (L.P.)

Received: 31 October 2019; Accepted: 5 December 2019; Published: 6 December 2019



**Abstract:** Volatilomes emitted from edible flowers of two species of *Agastache* (*A. aurantiaca* (A.Gray) Lint & Epling, and *A. mexicana* (Kunth) Lint & Epling) and from two hybrids (*Agastache* ‘Arcado Pink’ and *Agastache* ‘Blue Boa’) were investigated using a solid-phase microextraction technique as well as the extraction of its essential oils. Oxygenated monoterpenes were almost always the predominant class (>85%) of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in each sample of *A. aurantiaca*, *A. ‘Blue Boa’* and *A. mexicana*, with the exception of *A. ‘Arcado Pink’* (38.6%). Pulegone was the main compound in *A. aurantiaca* (76.7%) and *A. ‘Blue Boa’* (82.4%), while geranyl acetate (37.5%) followed by geraniol (16%) and geranial (17%) were the principal ones in *A. mexicana*. The essential oil composition showed the same behavior as the VOCs both for the main class as well as the major constituent (pulegone) with the same exception for *A. mexicana*. Total soluble sugars, secondary metabolites (polyphenols, flavonoids and anthocyanins) and antioxidant activity were also investigated to emphasize the nutraceutical properties of these edible flowers.

**Keywords:** VOCs; *A. ‘Arcado Pink’*; *A. aurantica*; *A. ‘Blue Boa’*; *A. mexicana*; essential oil; GC-MS; edible flowers; antioxidant activity; secondary metabolites

## 1. Introduction

In the last few decades, the analysis of volatilome has received big attention. In fact, plant volatilome, the aromatic complex of essential oils (EOs) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), defined as “volatile chemiodiversity” [1], is one of the main traits that enhances our understanding and provides new insights into the physiological processes of plant growth, defense and productivity [2]. Almost all plants are able to emit VOCs, and they are released from leaves, flowers and fruits into the atmosphere, and from roots into the soil [3].

Gas chromatography (GC) in combination with mass spectrometry (GC-MS) is one of the elective techniques for volatilomics [4], the study of the volatilome. Depending on the biological problem as well as the plant material being investigated, analytical procedures for the analysis of volatiles require preparation methods for the extraction and pre-concentration of target compounds [5].

In agreement with the present guidelines aimed on the reduction of the use of organic solvent, both the traditional methods, such hydro-distillation and headspace, were shown to be the main methods

used to evaluate volatiles from the plant. Since hydrodistillation was limited to plants producing essential oils, the extraction of VOCs was captured efficiently in the “head space,” an enclosed volume of air surrounding the plant [6], and the use of the Solid Phase Microextraction (SPME) was shown to be the most commonly used method [5], which was a very simple and efficient technique [7] as well as an immediate one-site analysis of biogenic VOC [8]. In fact, this technique, based on the absorption-adsorption of the analytes into a coated fiber, has gained popularity in many fields of analytical chemistry, mainly in food and flavor analysis [9–11].

Looking for healthier and functional new foods, people have adopted an interest in edible flowers as creative and innovative ingredients whose popularity and consumption have been increasing worldwide since 1980 [12] due to their powerful and unique tastes, flavors, textures and pigments. The renewed success of edible flowers relies also in their nutritional and healthy properties [13,14]. In fact, several studies have highlighted high quantities of antioxidant molecules [14], primary metabolites [13], minerals [15–18] and vitamins [13,19,20]. Even though there are no official lists emitted by any international organizations (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), World Health Organization (WHO), and European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)), Lim listed over 80 species from about 32 families as edible flowers [21]. Two years later, Lu and his co-workers wrote about 97 families, 100 genera and 180 species, and they specified that the number of edible flowers varies in different countries [14]. In the framework of the INTERREG ALCOTRA Project on edible flowers (INTERREG ALCOTRA ANTEA N°1139, 2014–2020), the *Agastache* genus received special interest due to its good flavor, and so analyzing the chemical composition of its volatiles became relevant.

The *Agastache* genus belongs to Nepetoideae, a subfamily of Lamiaceae (Mint family), and includes 22 species known under the popular name ‘giant hyssop’ [22]. This aromatic genus includes ornamental herbaceous perennial plants, and is almost exclusively native to North America [22,23]. Lot of species is characterized by very pleasant fragrances, similar to anise, mint and licorice [24,25]. Nectar contributes to the sweetness of these flowers and makes them suitable as bee forage [26]. Moreover, some *Agastache* species are medicinal plants, characterized by several biological activities [22].

The evaluation of the flower aroma composition is essential, since it is the second greatest influence on consumer attitudes towards the edible flower’s consumption, only preceded by curiosity [27]. In the last three-decades, the investigation on the *Agastache* volatilome was especially focused on its essential oil (EO) [22]. On the contrary, only two works reported the use of the headspace method for VOC analysis in this genus [28,29].

This work deals with the use of the cited technique for the analysis of four species of the *Agastache* genus: *Agastache* ‘Blue Boa’, *Agastache* ‘Arcado Pink’, *A. aurantiaca* var. ‘Sunset Yellow’ and *A. mexicana* var. ‘Sangria’. These varieties and hybrids were selected due to their ornamental value, aroma and taste (Table 1). As a frame to the volatilome, some classes of antioxidant molecules were quantified, due to their nutraceutical potentials. Soluble sugars were also determined, considering the significant nectar production and the petal’s sweet taste.

**Table 1.** Main botanical information and visual appearance of the examined *Agastache* flowers.





Plant Name		Plant Height	Leaves	Flowers	Blossoming Period
A. ‘Arcado Pink’		60–70 cm	Medium, opposite, lanceolate, serrated, green/gray color	Purplish/pink in compact ears	May–October

Table 1. Cont.

Plant Name		Plant Height	Leaves	Flowers	Blossoming Period
<i>A. aurantiaca</i> (A. Gray) Lint & Epling, var. 'Sunset Yellow'		35–40 cm	Small, opposite, lanceolate, serrated, green/gray color	Golden yellow in very loose ears	May–November
<i>A. 'Blue Boa'</i>		60–70 cm	Medium, opposite, lanceolate, serrated, green/gray color	Dark blue/purple in loose ears	June–October
<i>A. mexicana</i> (Kunth) Lint & Epling		100–120 cm	Large, opposite, lanceolate, serrated, green/gray color	Purple red in very loose ears	June–November

## 2. Results and Discussion

The results of the chemical composition of the volatilomes emitted by edible flowers of the four *Agastache* species led to the identification of 67 components (Table 2), representing at least 99% of the total volatiles. Oxygenated monoterpenes were the predominant class in each aroma profile and EO analysis. Their relative percentage ranged between 85.9% in *A. aurantica* and 90.6% in *A. 'Blue Boa'* in VOC analysis, and more than 86% in the distilled oils. An exception was noted for the *A. 'Arcado Pink'* SPME where the composition of the VOCs was split in three classes; two of them evidenced a similar percentage: sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (38.6%) and oxygenated monoterpene (37.9%) with a good amount of monoterpene hydrocarbons (23.2%).

In detail, the aroma profile of both the *A. aurantica* and *A. 'Blue Boa'* flowers showed the same main compound, pulegone, with a percentage of 77.7% and 84.0%, respectively. Pulegone prevailed also in *A. 'Arcado Pink'* (36.5%), followed by a good amount of  $\beta$ -caryophyllene (20.4%). *A. mexicana* highlighted a different composition, since geranyl acetate was the major constituent (37.5%) followed by both geranial and geraniol with more or less a similar amount (17% and 16%, respectively).

It is worthy to note that the EO composition of this latter species (*A. mexicana*) evidenced the same behavior as already reported for SPME analysis, and confirmed geranyl acetate (61.4%) as the principal compound, whose odor was described as fruity, fatty, sweet, citrus, floral, fresh and lemon-like [30], followed by geranial (11.0%) and geraniol (8.3%).

**Table 2.** Volatilome in four species of *Agastache*: *A.* ‘Arcado Pink’ (A.A.P.), *A. aurantica* (A.A.), *A.* ‘Blue Boa’ (A.B.B.) and *A. mexicana* (A.M.).

		SPME							EO			
	Compounds *	Class	LRI	LRI *	A.A.P.	A.A.	A.B.B.	A.M.	A.A.P.	A.A.	A.B.B.	A.M.
Relative Percentage												
1	Furfural	nt	818	836	-	-	-	-	0.2 ± 0.08	-	-	-
2	1-Octen-3-ol	nt	979	979	-	-	0.1 ± 0.07	-	-	0.1 ± 0.01	0.2 ± 0.00	-
3	β-Pinene	mh	981	979	0.1 ± 0.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	3-Octanone	nt	986	984	-	0.1 ± 0.04	0.2 ± 0.00	-	-	-	-	-
5	Myrcene	mh	991	991	3.5 ± 0.21	0.4 ± 0.07	0.3 ± 0.21	3.7 ± 0.24	-	0.1 ± 0.01	-	-
6	p-Cymene	mh	1025	1025	-	-	0.3 ± 0.07	-	-	-	-	-
7	Limonene	mh	1030	1029	17.1 ± 1.70	2.4 ± 0.99	3.6 ± 0.85	0.8 ± 0.10	-	0.5 ± 0.10	0.6 ± 0.14	-
8	cis-β-Occimene	mh	1038	1037	0.6 ± 0.05	-	-	0.8 ± 0.15	-	-	-	-
9	trans-β-Occimene	mh	1047	1050	1.0 ± 0.4	-	-	1.3 ± 0.10	-	-	-	-
10	p-Menta-2,4(8)-diene	mh	1086	1088	0.3 ± 0.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	p-Cymenene	mh	1090	1091	-	-	0.1 ± 0.07	-	-	-	-	-
12	Linalool	om	1099	1097	-	-	-	1.5 ± 0.33	-	-	-	0.5 ± 0.14
13	trans-p-Mentha-2,8-dienol	om	1116	1113 <sup>\$</sup>	-	-	-	-	2.5 ± 0.06	-	0.3 ± 0.07	-
14	1,3,8-p-Menthatriene	mh	1119	1110	0.1 ± 0.04	-	0.1 ± 0.40	-	-	-	-	-
15	trans-p-Metha-2,8-dien-1-ol	om	1123	1123	-	-	-	-	3.1 ± 0.06	-	-	-
16	p-Mentha,1,5,8-triene	mh	1130	1135 <sup>\$</sup>	0.1 ± 0.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Neo-allo-ocimene	mh	1131	1132	0.4 ± 0.09	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	cis-p-Mentha-2,8-dien-1-ol	om	1138	1138	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2 ± 0.07	-
19	Citronellal	om	1153	1153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.2 ± 0.14
20	Menthone	om	1154	1153	-	4.7 ± 0.35	0.4 ± 0.35	-	0.4 ± 0.07	1.4 ± 0.10	0.1 ± 0.00	-
21	iso-Menthone	om	1164	1163	-	-	-	-	-	0.1 ± 0.01	1.1 ± 0.07	-
22	Menthofuran	om	1165	1164	0.5 ± 0.07	1.5 ± 0.64	2.8 ± 1.06	-	-	-	-	-
23	Borneol	om	1169	1169	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1 ± 0.00
24	isoPulegone	om	1177	1179 <sup>\$</sup>	1.1 ± 0.42	1.9 ± 0.07	2.6 ± 0.92	0.1 ± 0.03	1.1 ± 0.0	2.6 ± 0.20	2.1 ± 0.21	-
25	α-Terpineol	om	1189	1189	-	-	-	-	0.7 ± 0.08	0.1 ± 0.04	-	-
26	Verbenone	om	1204	1205	-	-	-	-	-	0.1 ± 0.10	-	-
27	2,6,6-trimethyl,2-Cyclohexen-1-ol	nt	1205	1205 <sup>\$</sup>	-	-	-	-	0.4 ± 0.09	-	-	-
28	n-Decanal	nt	1206	1202	-	-	-	0.2 ± 0.09	-	-	-	-
29	4,7-dimethyl, Benzofuran	pp	1220	1220 <sup>\$</sup>	-	-	0.3 ± 0.05	-	-	-	-	-
30	Citronellol	om	1228	1226	-	-	-	6.2 ± 1.48	-	-	-	3.1 ± 0.35
31	Pulegone	om	1237	1237	36.5 ± 1.27	77.7 ± 0.42	84.0 ± 5.30	-	79.8 ± 2.15	92.5 ± 0.80	91.8 ± 0.71	-
32	β-Citral (Neral)	om	1240	1238	-	-	-	3.8 ± 0.30	-	-	-	2.9 ± 0.14
33	2-methyl-3-phenyl, Propanal	nt	1244	1244 <sup>\$</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	0.2 ± 0.10	-	-
34	Piperitone	om	1252	1253	-	-	-	-	-	0.2 ± 0.11	-	-
35	Geraniol	om	1255	1253	-	-	-	16.0 ± 1.86	-	-	-	8.3 ± 0.49
36	α-Citral (Geranial)	om	1270	1267	-	-	-	17.0 ± 1.56	-	-	-	11.0 ± 0.07
37	isoBorneyl acetate	om	1286	1286	0.2 ± 0.14	-	-	1.2 ± 0.14	-	-	-	1.1 ± 0.07
38	Carvyl acetate	om	1336	1335 <sup>\$</sup>	-	-	0.7 ± 0.57	-	-	-	-	-
39	trans-Carvyl acetate	om	1337	1342	0.3 ± 0.07	-	-	-	-	-	0.1 ± 0.00	-
40	Piperitenone	om	1340	1343	-	0.1 ± 0.07	0.1 ± 0.02	-	1.0 ± 0.04	0.5 ± 0.20	0.6 ± 0.07	-
41	α-Cubebene	sh	1351	1351	0.1 ± 0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 2. Cont.

SPME												EO					
Compounds *		Class	LRI	LRI *	A.A.P.	A.A.	A.B.B.	A.M.	A.A.P.	A.A.	A.B.B.	A.M.					
Relative Percentage																	
42	Citronellyl acetate	om	1354	1353	-	-	-	2.6 ± 0.56	-	-	-	4.2 ± 0.07					
43	Neryl acetate	om	1364	1362	-	-	-	1.6 ± 0.17	-	-	-	3.0 ± 0.00					
44	Geranyl acetate	om	1381	1381	-	-	-	37.5 ± 3.78	-	-	-	61.4 ± 2.40					
45	$\alpha$ -Bourbonene	sh	1384	1384 <sup>\$</sup>	0.3 ± 0.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
46	$\beta$ -Elemene	sh	1391	1391	0.7 ± 0.35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
47	Methyl eugenol	om	1402	1404	-	-	-	2.0 ± 0.76	-	-	-	1.1 ± 0.00					
48	$\beta$ -Caryophyllene	sh	1419	1419	20.4 ± 1.27	8.9 ± 1.06	2.5 ± 1.34	2.7 ± 0.96	1.8 ± 0.06	0.6 ± 0.10	0.3 ± 0.00	0.5 ± 0.00					
49	$\beta$ -copaene	sh	1432	1432	0.6 ± 0.35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
50	<i>iso</i> Germacrene D	sh	1448	-	0.2 ± 0.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
51	<i>cis</i> -muurola-4(14),5-diene	sh	1450	1467	0.3 ± 0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
52	$\alpha$ -Humulene	sh	1454	1455	2.5 ± 0.07	0.9 ± 0.28	0.1 ± 0.07	-	0.3 ± 0.05	0.1 ± 0.08	-	-					
53	( <i>E</i> )- $\beta$ -Farnesene	sh	1457	1457	-	0.5 ± 0.40	-	-	-	0.1 ± 0.05	-	-					
54	<i>cis</i> -Muurolo-4(15),5-diene	sh	1463	1459 <sup>\$</sup>	0.1 ± 0.10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
55	Germacrene D	sh	1481	1485	10.9 ± 2.26	0.9 ± 0.57	1.1 ± 0.13	-	4.2 ± 1.04	0.3 ± 0.12	0.4 ± 0.00	-					
56	Valencene	sh	1492	1496	0.1 ± 0.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
57	Bicyclogermacrene	sh	1500	1500	0.1 ± 0.02	-	0.4 ± 0.08	-	-	-	0.2 ± 0.07	-					
58	$\alpha$ -Farnesene	sh	1508	1509 <sup>\$</sup>	0.5 ± 0.05	-	0.1 ± 0.05	-	-	-	-	-					
59	$\gamma$ -Cadinene	sh	1513	1514	0.4 ± 0.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
60	$\delta$ -Cadinene	sh	1524	1523	0.6 ± 0.14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
61	$\alpha$ -Cadinene	sh	1532	1536	0.1 ± 0.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
62	Germacrene D-4-ol	os	1574	1576	0.1 ± 0.04	-	-	-	3.9 ± 0.52	0.2 ± 0.12	0.1 ± 0.00	-					
63	Viridiflorol	os	1591	1593	-	-	-	-	-	0.3 ± 0.00	1.8 ± 0.07	-					
64	Dodecyl acetate	nt	1609	1610 <sup>\$</sup>	-	-	-	0.2 ± 0.06	-	-	-	-					
65	<i>n</i> -Tetracosane	nt	2400	2400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5 ± 0.42					
66	<i>n</i> -Pentacosane	nt	2500	2500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.1 ± 0.49					
	Unknown				0.2 ± 0.05	0.0 ± 0.00	0.2 ± 0.03	0.8 ± 0.19	0.6 ± 0.07	0.0 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.07	0.0 ± 0.00					
SPME															EO		
Class of Compounds					A.A.P.	A.A.	A.B.B.	A.M.	A.A.P.	A.A.	A.B.B.	A.M.					
Monoterpene Hydrocarbons (mh)					23.2 ± 1.13	2.8 ± 0.92	4.4 ± 1.34	6.6 ± 0.56	-	0.6 ± 0.10	0.6 ± 0.14	-					
Oxygenated Monoterpenes (om)					38.6 ± 1.98	85.9 ± 1.41	90.6 ± 4.03	89.5 ± 4.96	88.6 ± 3.29	97.5 ± 0.21	96.3 ± 0.21	97.9 ± 0.92					
Sesquiterpene Hydrocarbons (sh)					37.9 ± 2.90	11.2 ± 2.33	4.2 ± 0.97	2.7 ±	6.3 ± 0.89	1.1 ± 0.00	0.9 ± 0.07	0.5 ± 0.00					
Oxygenated Sesquiterpenes (os)					0.1 ± 0.04	-	-	-	3.9 ± 0.52	0.5 ± 0.00	1.9 ± 0.07	-					
Penylpropanoids (pp)					-	-	0.3 ± 0.05	-	-	-	-	-					
Non-terpene Derivatives (nt)					-	0.1 ± 0.04	0.3 ± 0.07	0.4 ± 0.02	0.6 ± 0.08	0.3 ± 0.07	0.2 ± 0.00	1.6 ± 0.09					
Total Identified					99.8 ± 0.21	100.0 ± 0.00	99.8 ± 0.42	99.2 ± 0.35	99.4 ± 3.82	100.0 ± 0.00	99.9 ± 0.02	100.0 ± 0.00					

Data are reported as mean values (n = 3; ± SD). \* Compounds present with a% > 0.1%; LRI: Linear retention time experimentally determined; LRI\*: Linear retention time reported by Adams 2007; <sup>\$</sup>: Linear retention time reported by NIST 2014.

Notable amounts of pulegone, a compound with a strong pungent aromatic mint smell (IARC Monographs-108), was also detected in the EO composition of the remaining studied species with an amount ranging between 79.8% in *A. 'Arcado Pink'* to 92.5% in *A. aurantiaca*. These two compounds (pulegone and geranyl acetate) may play a role in the aroma profile and can be perceived by the human olfactory system due to their high amount, even though the main compounds are not always the only ones responsible of the aroma [31].

The volatilome of the *A. 'Blue Boa'* inflorescences was already investigated by Wilson and collaborators [28]. Their results were in agreement with what was found herein concerning the class of compounds where oxygenated monoterpenes predominated, with methyl chavicol (estragol) as the major compound (about 40.0% in all the studied samples), while pulegone was the main compound found in this study. Estragol was the unique compound in flower spikes of *A. rugosa* [29] that was reported in the literature.

Flower EOs from two subspecies of *A. mexicana* were investigated by Estrada-Reyes and collaborators [32]. The composition of the *A. mexicana* subsp. *mexicana* was dominated by estragol (86.78%) and limonene (11.24%), while this latter constituent (limonene 9.49%) together with pulegone (80.07%) characterized the EOs of the *A. mexicana* subsp. *xolocotziana*. More recently, Kovalenko et al. [33] pointed out methyl eugenol (20.8%), estragol (15.1%) and linalool (12.7%) as main compounds of the same species. However, limonene, methyl eugenol and linalool were present in lesser amounts in the plants studied herein, but pulegone and estragol were completely absent [33]. Going back in the literature revision, the *A. mexicana* aroma composition found here was similar with that reported previously by Svoboda et al. [34], where no estragol was noted in the EOs of this species against an abundance in respect to pulegone (75.3%). Variability in the volatilome among the *Agastache* species was observed and might depend on the harvest time as well as the environmental conditions or cultivation methods [22].

The EO composition of Anise hyssop (*A. foeniculum*) was reported by Ivanov et al. [35], who confirmed the prevalence of estragol (93.45%) together with  $\beta$ -caryophyllene (1.2%). This latter constituent represented 2.8% of the total identified fraction in this work. On the other hand, pulegone (43.3%) together with isomenthone (27.2%) prevailed in the same species investigated by Kovalenko et al. [33]. This behavior was similar to the results obtained herein, even though the pulegone amount (82.4%) was 2-fold more abundant, and the isomenthone was of a percentage 13-fold lower (2.1%).

The EOs of three varieties of *A. aurantica* (golde gill) were also reported by Kovalenko et al. [33] who pointed out menthone (53% and 65%, respectively) and pulegone (26% and 25%, respectively) as predominant in the *A. aurantica* varieties 'Tango' and 'Fragrant Delight', while 'Apricot Sprite' evidenced isomenthone (46%) and pulegone (41.4%) as the main ones. The species analyzed in this work were different from all the studied varieties, since pulegone was in higher amount (76.7%), while menthone showed a lesser percentage (5.2%) and isomenthone was lacking.

Pulegone, a monoterpenes hydrocarbon, was produced in a higher percentage by different species of *Agastache* such as *A. rugosa* [36] and *A. scrophulariifolia* (45.2%) [37]. This compound has been reported as Generally Recognized as Safe (GRAS) by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) since 1965, and it is used as a flavoring agent in food, perfumery and herbal products in the European Union [37,38].

The nutraceutical properties of the edible *Agastache* flowers were investigated, and primary (soluble sugars) and secondary metabolites (polyphenols, flavonoids and anthocyanins) as well as the antioxidant activity were determined (Table 3).

The total polyphenols content (TPC) and total flavonoids (TF) were higher in the two hybrids than in the *A. mexicana* and *A. aurantiaca* varieties. All four flowers showed higher TPC and TF values than other species belonging to *Agastache* genus (*A. foeniculum* and *A. rugosa*) [39], as well as other members of the Lamiaceae family (*Salvia splendens* and *Lamium album*) [20,40,41]. In literature, it was reported that the *Agastache* flowers contain interesting phenolic compounds and flavone glycosides, such as rosmarinic acid, acacetin and tilianin, with variation among different species and cultivars [42].

All these molecules have important biological activities (antioxidant, vasorelaxant, spasmolytic and antinociceptive activities) [43–45]. Therefore, more in-depth studies on the plants examined in this work could be interesting to suggest new potential uses of these flowers.

**Table 3.** Determination of secondary metabolites, ascorbic acid, radical scavenger activity and soluble sugars in the four edible flowers belonging to *Agastache* genus. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SE ( $n = 3$ ). Different letters indicate statistically significant differences, at  $p < 0.05$  (Fisher's probable least-squares difference test). Abbreviation: TPC—total polyphenols content; TF—total flavonoids; TA—total anthocyanins; GAE—gallic acid equivalents; CE— $\pm$ catechin equivalents; ME—malvin equivalents.

Parameters	<i>A. aurantiaca</i>	<i>A. 'Arcado Pink'</i>	<i>A. 'Blue Boa'</i>	<i>A. mexicana</i>
TPC (mg GAE/g FW)	5.37 $\pm$ 0.32 <sup>b</sup>	7.10 $\pm$ 0.25 <sup>a</sup>	7.34 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>a</sup>	5.29 $\pm$ 0.20 <sup>b</sup>
TF (mg CE/g FW)	3.04 $\pm$ 0.28 <sup>b</sup>	5.57 $\pm$ 0.27 <sup>a</sup>	4.96 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>a</sup>	3.52 $\pm$ 0.18 <sup>b</sup>
TA (mg ME/g FW)	0.03 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.17 $\pm$ 0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.18 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.68 $\pm$ 0.02 <sup>a</sup>
Carotenoids ( $\mu$ g/g FW)	198.57 $\pm$ 8.82 <sup>a</sup>	1.95 $\pm$ 0.60 <sup>b</sup>	11.71 $\pm$ 0.40 <sup>b</sup>	8.69 $\pm$ 0.89 <sup>b</sup>
Reduced ascorbic acid (mg AsA/100 g FW)	1.48 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.79 $\pm$ 0.02 <sup>b</sup>	1.27 $\pm$ 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	1.43 $\pm$ 0.08 <sup>a</sup>
Total ascorbic acid (mg AsA <sub>TOT</sub> /100 g FW)	1.87 $\pm$ 0.16 <sup>b</sup>	1.12 $\pm$ 0.02 <sup>c</sup>	3.06 $\pm$ 0.26 <sup>a</sup>	2.11 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>a</sup>
DPPH radical scavenging assay (IC <sub>50</sub> mg/mL)	2.26 $\pm$ 0.15 <sup>a</sup>	1.43 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>b</sup>	0.86 $\pm$ 0.01 <sup>b</sup>	1.40 $\pm$ 0.05 <sup>c</sup>
Total soluble sugars (mg/g FW)	104.44 $\pm$ 2.84 <sup>a</sup>	56.41 $\pm$ 0.67 <sup>c</sup>	75.81 $\pm$ 0.66 <sup>b</sup>	57.52 $\pm$ 0.64 <sup>c</sup>
D-Glucose (mg/g FW)	16.14 $\pm$ 0.67 <sup>a</sup>	8.13 $\pm$ 0.19 <sup>b</sup>	9.15 $\pm$ 0.53 <sup>b</sup>	6.79 $\pm$ 0.32 <sup>b</sup>
Sucrose (mg/g FW)	17.65 $\pm$ 0.44 <sup>a</sup>	4.53 $\pm$ 0.35 <sup>b</sup>	3.51 $\pm$ 0.34 <sup>b</sup>	2.99 $\pm$ 0.20 <sup>c</sup>
D- Fructose (mg/g FW)	13.25 $\pm$ 0.28 <sup>a</sup>	7.00 $\pm$ 0.17 <sup>b</sup>	6.49 $\pm$ 0.25 <sup>b</sup>	4.92 $\pm$ 0.38 <sup>c</sup>

Anthocyanins and carotenoids are the major pigments of petals, producing blue-to-red and yellow-to-red colors, respectively [46]. In this work, the total carotenoid content was relevant in *A. aurantiaca* (198.57  $\mu$ g/g FW) compared to the other species under evaluation, as highlighted by the yellow colors of its flowers. On the other hand, *A. 'Blue Boa'*, *A. 'Arcado Pink'* and *A. mexicana* are characterized by red-purple petals, so anthocyanins are present in these plants, especially in *A. mexicana*. Moreover, these three flowers are characterized by higher values of anthocyanins than *A. rugosa* [47]. However, the anthocyanins content is relatively low compared to other purple-red colored flowers (e.g., *Monarda didyma*) [20].

Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) is an essential nutrient for humans, as it is not able to be bio-synthesized [48]. The EU Regulation (No 1169/2011) recommended 80 mg as the daily intake for vitamin C (for adults) [49]. The analyzed species showed a very low amount of this compound with the highest value in *A. 'Blue Boa'* (3 mg/100 g FW). Therefore, to reach the recommended value, huge amounts of *Agastache* flowers should be consumed. Since few fresh flowers are generally added as ingredient in recipes, the species analyzed in this work cannot help to supply these EU daily requirements. To date, *Tagetes tenuifolia* (241.20 mg/100 g FW) [20] is considered a good source of ascorbic acid, and its flowers contain from 80- to 215-fold more ascorbic acid than the *Agastache* flowers.

Plants belonging to *Agastache* genus can be used in beekeeping [26] to produce a very interesting honey due to their high antimicrobial activity, and are potentially usable in skin products to treat infection caused by bacteria [50]. Nectar is a balanced sugar solution (mainly composed by fructose, glucose and saccharose) that also contains free amino acids, proteins, inorganic ions, lipids, organic acids, phenolic substances and terpenoids, among others [13,51]. In this work, the total content of soluble sugars was in *A. aurantiaca* > *A. 'Blue Boa'* > *A. mexicana* > *A. 'Arcado Pink'*. Moreover, *A. aurantiaca* showed a larger content of glucose, fructose and sucrose compared to the other flowers analyzed in this work. Until now, few studies had reported the total and the reducing sugar content of edible flowers. Grzeszczuk et al. [20] had quantified the percentages of total soluble sugars and sucrose in *Salvia splendens* and *Lavandula angustifolia*, from the Lamiaceae family. Compared with these data, our results highlighted the highest content of these primary metabolites in *Agastache* flowers.

### 3. Material and Methods

#### 3.1. Plant Material

All the *Agastache* plants (*Agastache* ‘Blue Boa’, *A. aurantiaca* ‘Sunset Yellow’, *A. mexicana* ‘Sangria’ and *A.* ‘Arcado Pink’) were provided by the Chambre d’Agriculture des Alpes-Maritimes (CREAM, Nice, France) and were grown at Research Centre for Vegetable and Ornamental Crops (CREA, Sanremo, Imperia, Italy, GPS: 43.816887, 7.758900)—in large pots (30 cm of diameter; 9 L) in a substrate (Hochmoor–Terflor, Capriolo, Brescia, Italy) with a slow release fertilizer (Nitrophoska, Eurochem Agro, Cesano Maderno, Monza e della Brianza, Italy), and were irrigated with a nutrient solution (Ferti 3, Planta-Düngemittel, Regenstauf, Germany) every week. The pots were placed in greenhouses with an anti-insect net, and the plants were cultivated using the organic system (without pesticides). In order to avoid damage caused by pests, antagonist insects like *Aphidius colemani* (parasite), *Chrysoperla carnea*, *Adalia bipunctata*, *Phytoseiulus persimilis* and *Amblyseius swirskii* (predators) (Koppert Italia Srl., Bussolengo, Verona, Italy) were released in the greenhouse. *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *Kurstaki* (Serbios Srl, Badia Polesine, Rovigo, Italy) was sprayed to control the development of caterpillars. Flowers were picked during their flowering time (summer 2019).

#### 3.2. Headspace Trapping for the Floral Set-Up

Inflorescences of each species were accurately weighted (about 0.1 g) and carefully placed separately into 30 mL glass flasks without altering the tissue. The flasks were immediately sealed with aluminum foil and equilibrated at room temperature (around 24 °C) for 1 h.

#### 3.3. Sample Analysis: Isolation of VOCs

As recommended by manufacturer’s instructions, a preconditioned 100 µm polydimethylsiloxane PDMS fiber was fitted to a manual sampling fiber holder (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA). The fiber was then exposed to the headspace of the flask containing the samples for 30 min. By the end of the time, the fiber device was transferred into the GC-MS instrument for analysis. The process was repeated twice for each species.

#### 3.4. Extraction of Essential Oils

Fresh flowers were hydrodistilled for 2 h using a Clevenger apparatus as recommended by the European Pharmacopeia. The yield of the EOs was very low due to the very low quantity of plant material in disposal (1–2 g). Therefore, the EO was collected with the *n*-hexane grade for HPLC and was immediately injected in the GC-MS.

#### 3.5. GC-MS Analysis

Gas chromatography–electron impact mass spectrometry (GC–EIMS) analyses were performed with an Agilent 7890B gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with an Agilent HP-5MS (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm; coating thickness 0.25 µm) and an Agilent 5977B single quadrupole mass detector (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). Analytical conditions were as follows: injector and transfer line temperatures 220 and 240 °C, respectively; oven temperature programmed from 60 to 240 °C at 3 °C/min; carrier gas helium at 1 mL/min; injection of 1 µL; split ratio 1:25. The acquisition parameters were as follows: full scan; scan range: 30–300 *m/z*; scan time: 1.0 s.

#### 3.6. Identification of VOCs and EO Composition

Identification of the constituents was based on a comparison of the retention times with those of the authentic samples, comparing their linear retention indices relative to the series of *n*-hydrocarbons. Computer matching was also used against commercial (NIST 14 and ADAMS 07) [52,53] and

laboratory-developed mass spectra libraries built up of pure substances and components of known oils and MS literature data [53–58].

### 3.7. Determination of Secondary Metabolites, Ascorbic Acid and Radical Scavenging Activity (DPPH Assay)

Fresh flowers were used to quantify the total carotenoid [59], total polyphenolic content (TPC) (using the Folin—Ciocalteu method, according to Marchionni et al. [60]), total flavonoid (TF) [60] and anthocyanin content (TA) [60]. Radical scavenging activity was determined by the DPPH assay [61], reporting the results as IC<sub>50</sub> (mg/mL). Total ascorbate (AsA<sub>TOT</sub>) and reduced ascorbate (AsA) were quantified according to the method of Kampfenkel et al. [62], and prior sample extraction is described in Degl’Innocenti et al. [63].

For each analysis, 200 mg of homogeneous samples (three biological replica) were used. The absorbance was read in a UV-1800 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan).

### 3.8. Sugars Quantification

Fresh flowers (100 mg) were extracted as already described [64], and were thus spectrophotometrically estimated in their soluble sugars content. One mL of the samples was added to 4 mL of 0.2% (w/v) anthrone solution, and after 30 min of incubation at 90 °C, the absorbance was read at 620 nm. Sucrose, D-fructose and D-glucose determination was performed using a Sucrose/D-Fructose/D-Glucose Assay Kit (Megazyme International Ireland, Co. Wicklow, Ireland) following the manufacturer’s instructions and prior to the extraction described in Tobias et al. [65]. For each analysis, three biological replicas were used.

### 3.9. Statistical Analysis

Data were statistically analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Fisher’s probable least-squares difference test with a cut-off significance at  $p \leq 0.05$  (StatView®, Version 5.0, SAS® Institute Corporation, Cary, NC, USA).

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, L.P. (Laura Pistelli); Data curation, B.N., I.M.; Formal analysis, B.N., I.M., A.C.; Funding acquisition, B.R., L.P. (Laura Pistelli); Investigation, B.N., I.M., B.R., A.C.; Methodology, L.P. (Luisa Pistelli), L.P. (Laura Pistelli) and B.N.; Project administration, B.R.; Supervision, B.R., L.P. (Luisa Pistelli), L.P. (Laura Pistelli); Writing—original draft, B.N., I.M.; Writing—review & editing, B.N., I.M., B.R., A.C., L.P. (Luisa Pistelli), L.P. (Laura Pistelli).

**Funding:** This research was funded by the INTERREG-ALCOTRA UE 2014–2020 Project “ANTEA” Attività innovative per lo sviluppo della filiera transfrontaliera del fiore edule (n. 1139), grant number CUP C12F17000080003.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank Rosanna Dimita, and Sophie Descamps (CREAM, Nice, FR) for the free plant material supply.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Kantsa, A.; Sotiropoulou, S.; Vaitis, M.; Petanidou, T. Plant Volatilome in Greece: A Review on the Properties, Prospects, and Chemogeography. *Chem. Biodivers.* **2015**, *12*, 1466–1480. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Misra, B.B. Plant volatilome resources. *Curr. Metab.* **2016**, *4*, 148–150. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Ahmadian, M.; Ahmadi, N.; Babaei, A.; Naghavi, M.R.; Ayyari, M. Comparison of volatile compounds at various developmental stages of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* l. cv. mahallati) flower with different extraction methods. *J. Essent. Oil Res.* **2018**, *30*, 197–206. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Bicchi, C.; Maffei, M. The Plant Volatilome: Methods of Analysis. In *High-Throughput Phenotyping in Plants: Methods and Protocols, Methods in Molecular Biology*; Normanly, J., Ed.; Humana Press: Totowa, NJ, USA, 2012; Chapter 15; Volume 918, pp. 289–310. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Boiteux, J.; Monardez, C.; Fernández, M.; de los, Á.; Espino, M.; Pizzuolo, P.; Silva, M.F. *Larrea divaricata* volatilome and antimicrobial activity against *Monilinia fructicola*. *Microchem. J.* **2018**, *142*, 1–8. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

6. Paul, I.; Goyal, R.; Bhadoria, P.S.; Mitra, A. Developing efficient methods for unravelling headspace flora volatiles in *Murraya paniculata* for understanding ecological interactions. In *Application of Biotechnology for Sustainable Development*; Springer: Singapore, 2017; pp. 73–79.
7. Longo, V.; Forleo, A.; Provenzano, S.P.; Coppola, L.; Zara, V.; Ferramosca, A.; Siciliano, P.; Capone, S. HS-SPME-GC-MS metabolomics approach for sperm quality evaluation by semen volatile organic compounds (VOCs) analysis. *Biomed. Phys. Eng. Express* **2019**, *5*, 015006. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Wong, Y.F.; Yan, D.D.; Shellie, R.A.; Sciarrone, D.; Marriott, P.J. Rapid plant volatiles screening using headspace SPME and person-portable Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry. *Chromatographia* **2019**, *82*, 297–305. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Bueno, M.; Resconi, V.C.; Campo, M.M.; Ferreira, V.; Escudero, A. Development of a robust HS-SPME-GC-MS method for the analysis of solid food samples. Analysis of volatile compounds in fresh raw beef of differing lipid oxidation degrees. *Food Chem.* **2019**, *281*, 49–56. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
10. Jeleń, H.H.; Majcher, M.; Dziadas, M. Microextraction techniques in the analysis of food flavor compounds: A review. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2012**, *738*, 13–26. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Merkle, S.; Kleeberg, K.; Fritsche, J. Recent developments and applications of Solid Phase Microextraction (SPME) in food and environmental analysis—A Review. *Chromatography* **2015**, *2*, 293–381. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Fernandes, L.; Saraiva, J.A.; Pereira, J.A.; Casal, S.; Ramalhosa, E. Post-harvest technologies applied to edible flowers: A review: Edible flowers preservation. *Food Rev. Int.* **2019**, *35*, 132–154. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Fernandes, L.; Casal, S.; Pereira, J.A.; Saraiva, J.A.; Ramalhosa, E. Edible flowers: A review of the nutritional, antioxidant, antimicrobial properties and effects on human health. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* **2017**, *60*, 38–50. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Lu, B.; Li, M.; Yin, R. Phytochemical content, health benefits, and toxicology of common edible flowers: A Review (2000–2015). *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2016**, *56*, S130–S148. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
15. Grzeszczuk, M.; Stefaniak, A.; Meller, E.; Wysocka, G. Mineral composition of some edible flowers. *J. Elementol.* **2018**, *23*, 151–162. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Tokaloğlu, Ş. Determination of trace elements in commonly consumed medicinal herbs by ICP-MS and multivariate analysis. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *134*, 2504–2508. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Rop, O.; Mlcek, J.; Jurikova, T.; Neugebauerova, J.; Vabkova, J. Edible Flowers—A new promising source of mineral elements in human nutrition. *Molecules* **2012**, *17*, 6672–6683. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
18. Amorello, D.; Orecchio, S.; Pace, A.; Barreca, S. Discrimination of almonds (*Prunus dulcis*) geographical origin by minerals and fatty acids profiling. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2016**, *30*, 2107–2110. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Fernandes, L.; Ramalhosa, E.; Pereira, J.A.; Saraiva, J.A.; Casal, S. The unexplored potential of edible flowers lipids. *Agriculture* **2018**, *8*, 146. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Grzeszczuk, M.; Stefaniak, A.; Pachlowska, A. Biological value of various edible flower species. *Acta Sci. Pol. Hortorum* **2016**, *15*, 109–119. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Lim, T.K. *Lavandula angustifolia*. In *Edible Medicinal and Non Medicinal Plants: Volume 8, Flowers*; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2014; pp. 156–185. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Zielińska, S.; Matkowski, A. Phytochemistry and bioactivity of aromatic and medicinal plants from the genus *Agastache* (Lamiaceae). *Phytochem. Rev.* **2014**, *13*, 391–416. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
23. Fuentes-Granados, R.; Widrlechner, M.P.; Wilson, L.A. An overview of *Agastache* research. *J. Herbs Spices Med. Plants* **1998**, *6*, 69–97. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
24. Husty, A.; Cantor, M.; Buta, E.; Horť, D. Current Trends of Using Ornamental Plants in Culinary Arts. *ProEnvironment/ProMediu* **2013**, *6*, 52–58.
25. Myadelets, M.A.; Vorobyeva, T.A.; Domrachev, D.V. Composition of the essential oils of some species belonging to genus *Agastache* Clayton ex Gronov (Lamiaceae) cultivated under the conditions of the middle Ural. *Chem. Sustain. Dev.* **2013**, *21*, 397–401.
26. Ayres, G.S.; Widrlechner, M.P. The Genus *Agastache* as bee forage: A historical perspective. *Am. Bee J.* **1994**, *134*, 341–348.
27. Chen, N.-H.; Wei, S. Factors influencing consumers' attitudes towards the consumption of edible flowers. *Food Qual. Prefer.* **2017**, *56*, 93–100. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Wilson, L.A.; Widrlechner, M.P.; Senechal, N.P. Headspace analysis of the volatile oils of *Agastache*. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1992**, *40*, 1362–1366. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

29. Yamani, H.; Mantri, N.; Morrison, P.D.; Pang, E. Analysis of the volatile organic compounds from leaves, flower spikes, and nectar of Australian grown *Agastache rugosa*. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* **2014**, *14*, 495. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
30. Elsharif, S.A.; Buettner, A. Structure-odor relationship study on geraniol, nerol, and their synthesized oxygenated derivatives. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2016**, *66*, 2324–2333. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Kim, T.A.; Shin, H.J.; Baek, H.H.; Lee, H.J. Volatile flavour compounds in suspension culture of *Agastache rugosa* Kuntze (Korean mint). *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2001**, *81*, 569–575. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Estrada-Reyes, R.; Aguirre Hernández, E.; García-Argáez, A.; Soto Hernández, M.; Linares, E.; Bye, R.; Heinze, G.; Martínez-Vázquez, M. Comparative chemical composition of *Agastache mexicana* subsp. *mexicana* and *A. mexicana* subsp. *xolocotziana*. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* **2004**, *32*, 685–694. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Kovalenko, N.A.; Supichenko, G.N.; Ahramovich, T.I.; Shutova, A.G.; Leontiev, V.N. Antibacterial activity of *Agastache aurantiaca* essential oils. *Khimiya Rastit. Syr'ya* **2018**, *2*, 63–70. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Svoboda, K.P.; Gough, J.; Hampson, J.; Galambosi, B. Analysis of the essential oils of some *Agastache* species grown in Scotland from various seed sources. *Flavour Fragr. J.* **1995**, *10*, 139–145. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Ivanov, I.G.; Vrancheva, R.Z.; Petkova, N.T.; Tumbarski, Y.; Dincheva, I.N.; Badjakov, I.K. Phytochemical compounds of anise hyssop (*Agastache foeniculum*) and antibacterial, antioxidant, and acetylcholinesterase inhibitory properties of its essential oil. *J. Appl. Pharm. Sci.* **2019**, *9*, 72–78. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Mo, J.; Ma, L. Volatile oil of Herba *Agastache* in various growth periods and different parts by GC-MS. *Chin. J. Pharm.* **2011**, *4*.
37. Božović, M.; Ragno, R.; Tzakou, O. *Calamintha nepeta* (L.) Savi and its main essential oil constituent pulegone: Biological activities and chemistry. *Molecules* **2017**, *22*, 290. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Zárybnický, T.; Matoušková, P.; Lancošová, B.; Šubrt, Z.; Skálová, L.; Boušová, I. Inter-individual variability in acute toxicity of R-pulegone and R-menthofuran in human liver slices and their influence on miRNA expression changes in comparison to acetaminophen. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 1805. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Shtereva, L.; Vassilevska-Ivanova, R.; Stancheva, I.; Geneva, M.; Stoyanova, E. Evaluation of antioxidant activity of *Agastache foeniculum* and *Agastache rugosa* extracts. *C. R. l'Académie Bulg. Sci.* **2016**, *69*, 295–302.
40. Li, A.-N.; Lia, S.; Lia, H.-B.; Xua, D.-P.; Xub, X.-R.; Chen, F. Total phenolic contents and antioxidant capacities of 51 edible and wild flowers. *J. Funct. Foods* **2014**, *6*, 319–330. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Nowicka, P.; Wojdyło, A. Anti-Hyperglycemic and Anticholinergic Effects of Natural Antioxidant Contents in Edible Flowers. *Antioxidants* **2019**, *8*, 308. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Park, W.T.; Kim, H.H.; Chae, S.C.; Cho, J.W.; Park, S.U. Phenylpropanoids in *Agastache foeniculum* and Its Cultivar *A. foeniculum* 'Golden Jubilee'. *Asian J. Chem.* **2014**, *26*, 4599–4601. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Zielińska, S.; Kolniak-Ostek, J.; Dziadas, M.; Oszmianski, J.; Matkowski, A. Characterization of polyphenols in *Agastache rugosa* leaves and inflorescences by UPLC-qTOF-MS following FCPC separation. *J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol.* **2016**, *39*, 209–219. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Gonzalez-Trujano, M.E.; Ventura-Martinez, R.; Chavez, M.; Diaz-Reval, I.; Pellicer, F. Spasmolytic and antinociceptive activities of ursolic acid and acacetin identified in *Agastache mexicana*. *Planta Med.* **2013**, *78*, 793–796. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
45. Hernandez-Abreu, O.; Duran-Gomez, L.; Best-Brown, R.; Villalobos-Molina, R.; Rivera-Leyva, J.; Estrada-Soto, S. Validated liquid chromatographic method and analysis of content of tilianin on several extracts obtained from *Agastache mexicana* and its correlation with vasorelaxant effect. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2011**, *138*, 487–491. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
46. Ohmiya, A.; Tanase, K.; Hirashima, M.A.; Yamamizo, C.; Yagi, M. Analysis of carotenogenic gene expression in petals and leaves of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus* L.). *Plant Breed.* **2013**, *132*, 423–429. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Park, C.H.; Yeo, H.J.; Baskar, T.B.; Park, Y.E.; Park, J.S.; Lee, S.Y.; Park, S.U. In Vitro Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Properties of Flower, Leaf, and Stem Extracts of Korean Mint. *Antioxidants* **2019**, *8*, 75. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. De Tullio, M.C. The Mystery of Vitamin C. *Nat. Educ.* **2010**, *3*, 48.
49. Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2011. In *Daily Reference Intakes for Vitamins and Minerals (Adults)*; Annex XII; European Union: Bruxelles, Belgium, 22 November 2011.

50. Anand, S.; Deighton, M.; Livanos, G.; Morrison, P.D.; Pang, E.C.K.; Mantri, N. Antimicrobial activity of Agastache honey and characterization of its bioactive compounds in comparison with important commercial honeys. *Front. Microbiol.* **2019**, *10*, 263. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Mlcek, J.; Rop, O. Fresh edible flowers of ornamental plants—A new source of nutraceutical foods. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *22*, 561–569. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. NIST 14/EPA/NIH Mass Spectra Library; I. Willy and Sous, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2014.
53. Adams, R.P. *Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Quadrupole Mass Spectroscopy*, 4th ed.; Allured Publishing Corporation: Carol Stream, IL, USA, 2007.
54. Davies, N.W. Gas chromatographic retention indices of monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes on methyl silicon and Carbowax 20M phases. *J. Chromatogr. A* **1990**, *503*, 1–24. [[CrossRef](#)]
55. Jennings, W.; Shibamoto, T. *Qualitative Analysis of Flavor and Fragrance Volatiles by Glass Capillary Gas Chromatography*, Food/Nahrung; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 1980.
56. Masada, Y. *Analysis of Essential Oils by Gas Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1976.
57. Stenhagen, E.; Abrahamsson, S.; McLafferty, F.W. *Registry of Mass Spectral Data*; Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 1974.
58. Swigar, A.A.; Silverstein, R.M. *Monoterpenes*, Aldrich Chemical Company; Aldrich Chemical Company: Milwaukee, WI, USA, 1981.
59. Lichtenthaler, H.K. Chlorophylls and carotenoids: Pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes. *Methods Enzymol.* **1987**, *148*, 350–382. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Marchioni, I.; Pistelli La Ferri, B.; Cioni, P.L.; Copetta, A.; Pistelli Lu Ruffoni, B. Preliminary studies on edible saffron bio-residues during different post-harvest storages. *Bulg. Chem. Commun.* **2019**, *51*, 131–136.
61. Brand-Williams, W.; Cuvelier, M.E.; Berset, C. Use of a Free Radical Method to Evaluate Antioxidant Activity. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* **1995**, *28*, 25–30. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Kampfenkel, K.; Montagu, M.V.; Inzé, D. Extraction and determination of ascorbate e dehydroascorbate from plant tissue. *Anal. Chem.* **1995**, *225*, 165–167. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
63. Degl'innocenti, E.; Guidi, L.; Pardossi, A.; Tognoni, F. Biochemical Study of Leaf Browning in Minimally Processed Leaves of Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L. Var. Acephala. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2005**, *53*, 9980–9984. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Das, B.K.; Choudhury, B.K.; Kar, M. Quantitative estimation of changes in biochemical constituents of mahua (*Madhuca indica* syn. *Bassia latifolia*) flowers during postharvest storage. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* **2010**, *34*, 831–844. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Tobias, R.B.; Boyer, C.D.; Shannon, J.C. Alterations in Carbohydrate Intermediates in the Endosperm of Starch-Deficient Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Genotypes. *Plant Physiol.* **1992**, *99*, 146–152. [[CrossRef](#)]

**Sample Availability:** Samples of the plant material Agastache ‘Blue Boa’, *A. aurantiaca* ‘Sunset Yellow’, *A. mexicana* ‘Sangria’ and *A. arcuata* ‘Pink’ are available at Research Centre for Vegetable and Ornamental Crops (CREA, Sanremo, Imperia, Italy, GPS: 43.816887, 7.758900).



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).